### OVERLAND FLOW AND FLOOD ANALYSIS

### FOR

### 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL NSW 2158

Revision No	Status	Issue Date	Prepared By	Reviewed By	Approved By
A	Final	31/01/2017	S. Khan	L. Panagopoulos	P Sancandi
Jah na 10000				•	

Job no. 16033

#### 1.0 **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	INTRODUCTION	.3
2.0	STUDY AREA	.4
3.0	THE EXISTING SITE	.5
4.0	APPROACH TO THE STUDY	.6
5.0	HYDROLOGICAL ANALYSIS	.7
6.0	CONCLUSION	18

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

2.

#### 1. **UPSTREAM CATCHMENT DRAWINGS**

*	Figure 1	Upstream Catchment Analysis – Existing Condition
*	Figure 2	Upstream Catchment Analysis – Proposed Condition Basement 2
*	Figure 3	Upstream Catchment Analysis – Proposed Condition Basement 1
*	Figure 4	Upstream Catchment Analysis – Proposed Condition Ground
*	Figure 5	Concept Trench Drainage Basement 2 Plan
*	Figure 6	Concept Trench Drainage Basement 1 Plan
*	Figure 7	Concept Trench Drainage Ground Floor Plan
*	Figure 8	Concept Trench Drainage Inlet Pit General Arrangement
FLOOI	D MODELLING	OF CONDITIONS USING DRAINS MODELLING PROGRAM

*	DRAINS Modelling 1	Existing Conditions	Node Diagram
*	DRAINS Modelling 2	Existing Conditions	100 Year ARI Results
*	DRAINS Modelling 3	Existing Conditions	20 Year ARI Results
*	DRAINS Modelling 4	Existing Conditions	Input Data Sheet
*	DRAINS Modelling 5	Proposed Conditions	Node Diagram
*	DRAINS Modelling 6	Proposed Conditions	10 Year ARI Results
*	DRAINS Modelling 7	Proposed Conditions	20 Year ARI Results
*	DRAINS Modelling 8	Proposed Conditions	100 Year ARI Results
*	DRAINS Modelling 9	Proposed Conditions	Input Data Sheet

#### 3. SITE SURVEY

\* Site Survey Photos

#### Dated 14<sup>th</sup> December 2016

#### ABBREVIATIONS

- AHD Australian Height Datum RL Reduced Level ARI Annual Recurrence Interval TWL
- На Hectares

Top Water Level VD Velocity & Depth Product

L/s Litres per second

#### 2.0 INTRODUCTION

This report has been prepared by Marchese Partners Engineering (*MPE*) to assist relevant Stakeholders in determining the hydrology of the existing local catchment for the site 3 Quarry Road, Dural. The existing site is a grassed area with one existing single storey brick house and a retaining wall located north of the site. The proposed development is a Retirement Village with 9 buildings and landscape areas. The total site area is 2.97 ha and the site boundary is shown in the locality map in *Figure 1*. A small dam is present outside the western boundary of the site.



Figure 1 – Locality Plan

The site is grassed area with a single storey brick building located north of the site. It is proposed that the site will be occupied by nine (9) residential buildings with a central landscape on the ground floor.

This report defines the upstream catchment analysis from Old Northern Road and Quarry Road, towards the creek flow which includes the development site. In addition, the report also addresses the following:-

- Estimates the peak catchment discharges for the 20 and 100 Year ARI storm events for the existing catchment.
- Estimates the flood depth along the site boundary for the 10, 20 and 100 Year ARI storm events.
- Provides a recommendation for the proposed Finished Floor Level and Basement Threshold Levels which meet the requirements of the Local Government Authority, Hornsby Shire Council.

All catchment discharges and flood levels were analysed using DRAINS modelling software. DRAINS is a time area, unit hydrograph, runoff routing programme for modelling urban stormwater systems and analysing their hydrological behaviour.

#### 3.0 STUDY AREA

The catchment covers an area of 26 ha consisting of Vineys Road, Quarry Road, the proposed development, a dam, developed areas, grassed areas, and vegetated reserve. The upstream catchment is bounded by Old Northern Road, North of Vineys Road, South of Quarry Road and East of Site.

During extreme storm events it is anticipated that the catchment bounded by Old Northern Road and Quarry Road will pond into the site and spill into Trunks Creek. This creek continues into Berowra Creek which leads into the Hawkesbury River.

The total catchment and sub catchments are shown in Attachment 1-1: Existing Condition.

The elevation of the catchment varies from approximately RL 220.00 metres AHD on Old Northern Road to RL 180.00 metres AHD at the sag point, east of catchment which leads into Trunk's creek.

#### 4.0 THE EXISTING SITE

A site survey was conducted on Wednesday, 14<sup>th</sup> December 2016. The survey shows site analysis around the catchment boundary and the site boundary as shown in *Figure 2*. The flood analysis catchment boundary is along Old Northern Road and includes the sub-catchments from Quarry Road and Vineys Road. The northern site boundary meets Vineys Road and the southern boundary meets Quarry Road.

The site survey images 1 to 9 (see *Attachment 3*) show the site grading catchment area by green arrows in the Figure 2 below. The overall site falls west to east towards the path of Trunks Creek. Old Northern Road falls towards Quarry Road. Vineys Road and Quarry Road both have a falling gradient towards east. Refer to *Figure 2* below for an overall site survey plan.

The site survey shows the location of a dam near the western site boundary which has a flow path through the site and forms a gully leading to a creek downstream. There is a road sag on Vineys Road near the intersection of Vineys Lane. There is another road sag on Quarry Road east of site as shown by the *Figure 2* below. Kerb entry pits were found in the site survey along Quarry Road.



Figure 2 – Site Survey Plan

#### 5.0 APPROACH TO THE STUDY

The approach taken to the study can be summarised as follows: -

#### Hydrology

The catchment for the overall site includes the sag areas on Vineys Road and Quarry Road which has been modelled to determine the peak catchment discharges from the site and flood levels for a 20 and 100 Year ARI storm event using the DRAINS modelling programme.

#### Hydraulics

The analysis of the overland runoff from the western boundary of the catchment area to the eastern boundary of the proposed site and the creek has been completed using the Manning's equation within DRAINS which calculates steady state discharge for a given cross-sectional area and hydraulic grade.

This calculation has been completed to verify the flood and stormwater discharge requirements of the proposed development to co-ordinate the 100 Year ARI flood level against the proposed Finish Floor Level and Basement Threshold Level for the proposed development.

#### 6.0 HYDROLOGICAL ANALYSIS

#### 6.1 Data

The detailed survey information from Higgins Surveyors outlines the topography and existing drainage infrastructure within the catchment.

A detailed site inspection of the site was undertaken on 14<sup>th</sup> December 2016 in sunny conditions to verify the existing drainage infrastructure and determine the extent of the catchment.

#### 6.2 DRAINS Model

The catchment peak discharges were calculated using DRAINS modelling software. The catchment was divided into seven (7) sub-catchments as shown on drawings in *Attachment 1-1*.

An ILSAX model was created for the existing catchment with the following catchment characteristic factor values was created in the DRAINS model:-

*	Paved area depression storage	1mm
*	Grassed area depression storage	5mm
*	Soil Type	3
*	Antecedent Moisture Content (AMC)	3

The times of concentration are calculated using the Kinematic Wave Equation by the DRAINS software. Flow length, slope of the catchment, impervious and pervious percentage and roughness were input into each catchment node to determine the time of concentration for each sub-catchment.

The DRAINS model for the existing site (refer to *Attachment 2-1*) is shown below:



Figure 3 – Existing Site DRAINS Model

The DRAINS model shows the location of node N2 which calculates the discharge of flow from the Dam when the flood enters the proposed site location at the Western Site Boundary. The node N4 shows the critical location as water leaves the proposed site, on the Eastern Site boundary. Refer to *Figure 3* above for the site boundary locations. The Outlet Node shows the eastern boundary of the catchment where the water travels into the Creek. The points of interest for the existing model flood analysis are N2, N4 and Outlet to creek.

At node **N2** on the western boundary of the proposed site, the critical duration for the 100 Year ARI storm event is the 1 hour storm with a peak discharge of **1.601** m<sup>3</sup>/s, as shown by OF3 in the Node Diagram. The respective peak for the 20 Year ARI storm event is **1.04** m<sup>3</sup>/s which occurs for the 1 hour storm.

With respect to the discharge node **N4** on the eastern boundary of the proposed site, the critical duration for the 100 Year ARI storm event is the 1 hour storm with a peak discharge of **2.92 m<sup>3</sup>/s**, as shown by OF5 in the Node Diagram (*see Attachment 2-1*). The respective peak for the 20 Year ARI storm event is **1.91 m<sup>3</sup>/s** which occurs for the 1 hour storm.

The total catchment discharge is at node **Outlet**, 20m east of the catchment boundary shown in *Attachment 1-1*. The critical duration for the 100 Year ARI storm event is the 1 hour storm with a peak discharge of **5.14 m<sup>3</sup>/s**, as shown by OF7 in the Node Diagram (*see Attachment 2-1*). The respective peak for the 20 Year ARI storm event is **3.32 m<sup>3</sup>/s** which occurs for the 1 hour storm.

For the detailed DRAINS model results for the existing site, refer to Attachments 2-1, 2 & 3.

#### 6.3 Existing Catchment Discharges

The 20 and 100 Year ARI catchment peak discharges at relevant locations for various storm durations are presented below:

SUB CATCHMENT	NODE	LOCATION DESCRIPTION	STORM EVENT	CATCHMENT	DISCHARGE F JRATION (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	OR STORM
			ARI	20 min	60 min	120 min
1	N11	North-Western	20	0.15	0.19	0.17
L. L		catchment	100	0.25	0.27	0.20
2	N2	Western catchment	20	0.36	0.50	0.47
		including Dam	100	1.35	1.60	1.21
3	NE2	South Western	20	0.30	0.41	0.37
5	1100	catchment	100	0.49	0.64	0.49
		Site area and	20	0.82	1.04	0.91
4	N4	North, West and South catchments	100	2.45	2.92	2.17
5	N3	Southern	20	0.22	0.29	0.26
		catchment	100	0.36	0.42	0.32
		Eastern	20	1.50	1.91	1.71
6	N6	catchment, East of site	100	0.88	1.14	0.87
7	N5	Northern	20	0.54	0.73	0.65
	-	catchment	100	0.88	1.14	0.87
Total	Outlot	Outlet from	20	1.77	2.51	3.32
Total	Outlet	adjoining creek	100	4.12	5.14	3.86

Table 5.3 – Catchment Discharges

The 20 and 100 Year ARI inputs and results are presented in Attachment 2.

The Unit Hydrographs (see Figures 4 to 9) are presented for the peak catchment discharges for the Western Site boundary (N2), Eastern Site Boundary (N4) and the total catchment Outlet. These hydrographs present the overall catchment discharge for each area from the commencement of each of the storm events through to when the catchment returns to its pre storm state.



Figure 4 – Western Site Boundary Node N2 – 20 Year ARI Storm – 60 minute duration



Figure 5 – Western Site Boundary Node N2 – 100 Year ARI Storm – 60 minute duration



Figure 6 – Eastern Site Boundary Node N4 – 20 Year ARI Storm – 60 minute duration



Figure 7 – Eastern Site Boundary Node N4 – 100 Year ARI Storm – 60 minute duration



Figure 8 – Catchment Outlet – 20 Year ARI Storm – 60 minute duration



Figure 9 - Catchment Outlet - 100 Year ARI Storm - 60 minute duration

#### 6.4 Overland Flow Depths

The overland flow depths from the DRAINS modelling programme have been scrutinised in the following locations:-

Existing Conditions – Western Site boundary (OF3)

The total discharge applicable to the western boundary of the proposed development as analysed by DRAINS modelling for the 100 Year ARI critical storm duration of 60 minutes is **1.6 m<sup>3</sup>/s**. In its existing condition, the western boundary has a batter slope down from the North and South boundaries of the catchment which falls towards the dam at the base of the batter. A headwall can be constructed to convey the flood to the eastern boundary using a pipe drainage running through the site. This concept will be further discussed in the report using a DRAINS analysis. The typical overland flow cross section is presented below.

C	Overflow Route OF3	×
Basic Data Cross Section Data		1
Shape Quarry Rd section 3	<b>•</b>	
(E) 192 5 192 5 192 5 193 5 193 5 193 5 193 5 193 6 193 4 193 6 193 4 193 6 193 6 193 6 193 6 193 6 193 6 193 6 193 7 193 6 193 7 193 7 1		
Safe Depths and Flow Rates © Use default values for this cross section © You specify	Safe Depth for Major Storms (m)0.3Safe Depth for Minor Storms (m)0.3Safe Depth x Velocity (sq.m/sec)0.6	
% of downstream catchment flow carried by this channel	For Major Storms: Safe flow = 0.393 cu.m/s Maximum flow = 1.601 cu.m/s	
Channel slope (%) 3.85 Calc Slope	Corresponding velocity = 2.46 m/s Maximum depth = 0.508 m · UNSAFE Maximum flow width = 2.57 m Maximum D x V = 1.25 sq.m/sec · UNSAFE	
	OK Cancel	Help

Figure 10 – Overland Flow Cross-section OF3

#### Existing Conditions – Eastern Site boundary (OF5)

The total discharge applicable to the eastern boundary of the proposed development as analysed by DRAINS modelling for the 100 Year ARI critical storm duration of 60 minutes is **2.92 m<sup>3</sup>/s**. In its existing condition, the eastern site boundary has a batter slope down from the northern catchment and a flatter batter from the southern catchment. The overland flow path continues to fall east towards the creek. The typical overland flow cross section is presented below.

C	Verflow Route OF5
Basic Data Cross Section Data	
Shape Quarry Rd Section 4	<b>•</b>
(a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a)	
Safe Depths and Flow Rates © Use default values for this cross section © You specify	Safe Depth for Major Storms (m)0.3Safe Depth for Minor Storms (m)0.3Safe Depth x Velocity (sq.m/sec)0.6
% of downstream catchment flow carried by this channel	For Major Stoms: Safe flow = 0.580 cu.m/s Maximum flow = 2.922 cu.m/s
Channel slope (%) 6.05 Calc Slope	Corresponding velocity = 3.17 m/s Maximum depth = 0.519 m - UNSAFE Maximum flow width = 3.55 m Maximum D x V = 1.65 sq.m/sec - UNSAFE
	OK Cancel Help

Figure 11 – Overland Flow Cross-section OF5

A summary of the hydraulic analysis for the Existing Conditions 100 Year storm is presented below showing the total discharge, flow velocity and flow depth for both overland flow paths: -

LOCATION	TOTAL DISCHARGE (m3/s)	MAXIMUM FLOW VELOCITY (m/s)	MAXIMUM FLOW DEPTH (mm)
West Boundary	1.6	0.624	520
East Boundary	2.92	0.663	530

Table 5.4 – Overland Flow Depths and Velocities

From *Figures 10 & 11* and *Table 5.4* above it can be seen that the Proposed Site will require treatment to convey stormwater around the proposed development to contain sufficient capacity to convey the 100 Year ARI storm event within pathway of the catchment outlet.

#### 6.5 Proposed Condition DRAINS Analysis

A DRAINS model was created with the addition of a head wall and DN 1200mm pipe system to carry the flood along the site and divert excess overland flow around the proposed development. Based on the analysis it is recommended that a head wall and DN 1200mm pipe system is used for the proposed development to convey flood through the site and provide the minimum 500mm freeboard requirement in compliance with Hornsby Shire Council's conditions.

The node diagram for the proposed design is shown below in Figure 12.



Figure 12 – Proposed Site DRAINS Model

The proposed pipe and head wall HW1 system shown in *Figure 12* above is applied in the DRAINS analysis. The flood is carried by the pipe system through HW1 and released to the existing system at node N4 which has an overland flow path OF4.

The freeboard is achieved based on the ground floor level of RL 200m (*see Figure 13 below*) and the Hydraulic Grade Line Analysis of the pipe system (*Figure 14*) where the maximum flood level is RL 198.227 m against the proposed building. This gives a freeboard well above the minimum council requirements for a freeboard of 500 mm.



Figure 13 – Proposed Finished Ground Floor Levels



Figure 14 – Hydraulic Grade Line Analysis of Pipe System

DRAINS modelling results of the proposed condition and the Upstream Catchment Analysis drawings have been included in the *Attachments 1 and 2*.

Based on the 100 Year ARI critical storm duration of 60 minutes the pipe has a peak flow of **1.61 m<sup>3</sup>/s** with nil overland flow. The depth and velocity product is required to be assessed to determine the safety of the proposed flood diversion along the site boundary and the possible effect this could have on the total catchment discharge during peak catchment discharges.

#### 6.6 Flood Risk Analysis

The depth velocity product of the site overland flow has been assessed at **1.61**  $m^3/s$  in DRAINS with a depth of **400** mm and velocity of **0.48** m/s for the proposed condition. When these values are plotted on *Figure L1* below they return a risk category of LOW. This categorisation is considered acceptable for the eastern boundary as the VD product is safe after the proposed treatment.

The VD product for these overland flow routes are generally within acceptable limits deemed by the NSW Floodplain Management manual.



Excerpt: the NSW Floodplain Management manual

#### 7.0 <u>CONCLUSION</u>

The findings of the hydrological analysis for the existing upstream condition estimates a peak discharge of 1.6 m<sup>3</sup>/s leading into the site at the western site boundary for 100 Year ARI event. The peak discharge exiting away from the site is 2.92 m<sup>3</sup>/s away from the eastern boundary of the proposed site. The catchment discharges have been discussed in *Section 5.2* and presented in *Table 5.3*.

The overland flow depths and velocities were analysed once the discharge rates were determined. It is recommended that a headwall and pipe system be constructed along the site from the western boundary to the eastern boundary of the proposed development to convey the upstream catchment discharge through the site.

The depth of flow along the western site boundary is 520 mm with a corresponding velocity of 1.60 m/s. The maximum depth of flow along the eastern site boundary is 530 mm with a corresponding velocity of 2.92 m/s. With the design of a headwall on the western boundary, the hydraulic grade line is RL 198.227 m with the proposed ground floor RL 200 m. This gives a freeboard of 1.77m which is well above the council requirements of 0.5m.

The pipe designed for the 100 Year ARI event flood level is of diameter 1200 mm. *Figure 14* in *Section 5.5* presents the Hydraulic Grade Line Analysis of the pipe where the maximum water in the pipe is RL 197.802 m, which is below the centre line of the pipe. This shows the proposed pipe has the capacity to cater for more than double the 100 Year ARI flood event level. The proposed design and ground floor levels are presented in *Attachment 1* Upstream Catchment Drawings.

The velocity depth product analysis of the overflow path from the proposed design was discussed in *Section 5.6.* The overland flow on the eastern site boundary is found to be in the LOW risk category after the proposed design is applied. This verifies the overland flow routes of the upstream catchment is acceptable within the NSW Floodplain Management limits.

ATTACHMENT 1 UPSTREAM CATCHMENT ANALYSIS DRAWINGS (Figures 1 to 8)



brought to the attention of the Architect. Larger scale		20.01.17	DRAFT ISSUE No.2	0,
drawings and written dimensions take preference. This drawing is convrignt and the property of the author, and must	с	23.01.17	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION DRAFT ISSUE No.3	CA
not be retained, copied or used without the express authority	D	25.01.17	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FINAL REPORT	CA
OT MARCHESE + PARTNERS INTERNATIONAL PTY. LTD.	E	31.01.17	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FINAL REPORT RE-ISSUE	CA
DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION				

CA

CA

site before commencement of work. All discrepancies to be

### marchesepartners engineering

Marchese Partners Engineering Pty Ltd Level 1, 53 Walker Street, North Sydney, NSW 2060. Australia P +61 2 9922 4375 F +61 2 9929 5786 E info@marchesepartners.com.au www.marchesepartners.com.au Sydney · Brisbane · Canberra · Melbourne · Adelaide · Perth Kuala Lumpur · Auckland

ABN 32 604 104 378



### MARCHESE PARTNERS

PROJECT STORMWATER DRAINAGE 3 QUARRY ROAD, DURAL, NSW, 2158 A1 @ 1:1250 Jan 23, 2017 CA LP JOB DRAWING REVISION FIGURE 1 Ε 2016-1029

			REA — — SUB-CAT OVERLA HIGH PO TOTAL C	= 25.5na [CHMENT BOUN ND FLOW PATH INT / LOW POIN ATCHMENT BO	IDARY T UNDARY
	Let				
	MCRED				
DAWING TITLE 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL					
DEVENIENTE 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL	185.00				-9 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0
DEWING TITE 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL				480.00	-140 00
DRAWING TITLE 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL	88		4001.08		NO BE NO
Drawing title 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL			00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	480.08	
Drawing TITLE 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL	105.00	180.09	460.0 <del>0</del>		VATERCOURSE
DRAWING TITLE 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL	100.09			-	
DRAWING TITLE 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL	course				
DRAWING TITLE 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL	VATE	8 98 180.09	480.00		
DRAWING TITLE 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL	b			160.00 160.00	- 8
Drawing Title 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL	NULL USE				160 C
DRAWING TITLE 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL		00 061	400.00	490.00	
DRAWING TITLE 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL	Ĵ.			a A	i0.00
DRAWING TITLE 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL					
DRAWING TITLE 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL					
DRAWING TITLE 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL					
DRAWING TITLE 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL					
DRAWING TITLE 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL					
DRAWING TITLE 3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL		0 1 SCALE	2.5 25 50 IS BEFORE REDUCTION	75 100 SCAL	125 metr E 1:1250
		DRAWING TITLE	Y ROAD DUF	RAL	



	REVISION	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
IMPORIANT NOTES:	A	19.01.17		CA
site before commencement of work. All discrepancies to be brought to the attention of the Architect. Larger scale	В	20.01.17	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION DRAFT ISSUE No.2	CA
drawings and written dimensions take preference. This	С	23.01.17	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION DRAFT ISSUE No 3	CA
not be retained, copied or used without the express authority	D	25.01.17	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FINAL REPORT	CA
of MARCHESE + PARTNERS INTERNATIONAL PTY. LTD.	E	31.01.17	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FINAL REPORT RE-ISSUE	CA
DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION				

Level 1, 53 Walker Street, North Sydney, NSW 2060. Australia P +61 2 9922 4375 F +61 2 9929 5786 E info@marchesepartners.com.au www.marchesepartners.com.au Sydney · Brisbane · Canberra · Melbourne · Adelaide · Perth Kuala Lumpur · Auckland

PROJECT STORMWATER DRAINAGE 3 QUARRY ROAD, DURAL, NSW, 2158

ABN 32 604 104 378

	3 QUARRY UPSTREAM PROPOSEE	ROAD DURA 1 CATCHMEN 2 CONDITION	AL NT ANALY N BASEM	'SIS ENT 2
Ξ	scale A1 @ 1:1250	<sub>DATE</sub> Jan 23, 2017	DRAWN CA	checked LP
	<sub>ЈОВ</sub> 2016-1029	drawing FIGURE 2		REVISION



DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION	

FINAL REPORT RE-ISSUE

Level 1, 53 Walker Street, North Sydney, NSW 2060. Australia P +61 2 9922 4375 F +61 2 9929 5786 E info@marchesepartners.com.au www.marchesepartners.com.au Sydney · Brisbane · Canberra · Melbourne · Adelaide · Perth

Kuala Lumpur · Auckland ABN 32 604 104 378

	LEGEND: TOTAL SITE CATCH CATCHMENT AREA	IMENT AREA = = - SUB-CATCHMEI OVERLAND FLC HIGH POINT / LC TOTAL CATCHM	2.97ha 25.5ha NT BOUNDARY W PATH OW POINT IENT BOUNDARY
SNG THE ATEN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN			
	-GROUND RL'S TO BE RAISED TO PROVIDE OVERLAND FLOW PATH AS SHOWN.		VATERCOURSE
RL 192.00 the address of the addres			
GROUND RL'S TO BE RAISED TO PROVIDE OVERLAND FLOW			
PATH AS SHOWN.	0 12.5 SCALE IS BEFO	25 50 75	100 125 metres SCALE 1:1250
CLIENT MARCHESE PARTNERS	DRAWING TITLE 3 QUARRY R UPSTREAM (		NALYSIS
PROJECT STORMWATER DRAINAGE 3 QUARRY ROAD, DURAL, NSW, 2158	SCALE I   A1 @ 1:1250 I   JOB I   2016-1029 I	Jan 23, 2017 CA DRAWING FIGURE 3	CHECKED LP REVISION E



site before commencement of work. All discrepancies to be	В	20.01.17	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION DRAFT ISSUE No 2	CA
drawings and written dimensions take preference. This	С	23.01.17	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION	CA
drawing is copyright and the property of the author, and must not be retained, copied or used without the express authority	D	25.01.17		CA
of MARCHESE + PARTNERS INTERNATIONAL PTY. LTD.	E	31.01.17	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FINAL REPORT RE-ISSUE	CA
DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION				

Level 1, 53 Walker Street, North Sydney, NSW 2060. Australia P +61 2 9922 4375 F +61 2 9929 5786 E info@marchesepartners.com.au www.marchesepartners.com.au Sydney · Brisbane · Canberra · Melbourne · Adelaide · Perth Kuala Lumpur · Auckland

ABN 32 604 104 378

CLIENT MARCHESE PARTNERS	3 QUARRY ROAD DURAL UPSTREAM CATCHMENT ANALYSIS PROPOSED CONDITION GROUND FLOOR							
PROJECT STORMWATER DRAINAGE	scale A1 @ 1:1250	DATE Jan 23, 2017	drawn CA	CHECKED LP				
3 QUARRY ROAD,   DURAL, NSW, 2158	<sub>ЈОВ</sub> 2016-1029	drawing FIGURE 4						



		<sub>јов</sub> 2016-1029	FIGURE 5		
Ξ	  -	A1 @ 1:500	Jan 23, 2017	CA	LP
		SCALE	I Z PLAN DATE	DRAWN	CHECKED
		CONCEPT		AINAGE	
		DRAWING TITLE	ROAD DUR	4L	
		SCALE IS	BEFORE REDUCTION	Xgooo SC	ALE 1:500
		0 5	10 20	30 40	50 metres
				\	
		195.00			
		105 0A			
			VINEYS		
e e	*	+	0		
St K		* *	+ +	+	*
		+			
	+ + + + +				
			200.00		200.00
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	+		200.00		
		$\langle \rangle$			
	+				
† Ť	+				
<pre></pre>					
+ EAS					
<u>a</u> fnorth					
AT					
					210.
BM AL	LARA H.D.				
			210.0		
			10.08		
BITUM					
ROAD					



BITUME				
		270		
RYL. BELIAKA.H.		.00		
				210.0
				_
ot				
C.S.THCHE				
+ EX				
		200.00		
		Euolia		200.00
	+			
	+ +			
	* *	+ +	+	+
	+	•	+	
		VINEYS		
	405 00			
	190.00			
	190.00		30 40	50 metres
			Ygooo S	UURLE 1.00U
	3 QUARRY	ROAD DUR	AL	
	CONCEPT	TRENCH DR [ 1 PI AN	RAINAGE	
=	SCALE		DRAWN	CHECKED
_	АТ @ 1:500 ЈОВ	Jan 23, 2017 DRAWING		LP REVISION
	2016-1029	FIGURE 6		I E



	ј ЈОВ 201	6-1029	DRAWING FIGURE 7		REVISION E
=		@ 1:500	Jan 23, 2017	CA	
	GF		LOOR PLAN DATE	DRAWN	CHECKED
	3 C   CC	QUARRY DNCEPT	ROAD DURA TRENCH DR	AL AINAGE	
	DRA	WING TITLE			
	1	90.0 <del>0</del> SCALE IS B	10 20 BEFORE REDUCTION	30 40	50 metres
		195.00		195.00	
× × × × ×			VINEYS VINEYS		
	*		0		
	+	+	+ +	+	
		+			
0	, +				≈00.00
			200.00		
AINE					
LOCE LOCAL					
- Control					<
× · · · ·					
AD					
S Y		<u>`</u>			210.0
			×10.00		
	*				
					<
		12			
BITUMEN					
S ROAD					
				$\backslash$	



Marchese Partners Engineering Pty Ltd	CLIENT MARCHESE PARTNERS
Level 1, 53 Walker Street, North Sydney, NSW 2060. Australia P +61 2 9922 4375 F +61 2 9929 5786 E info@marchesepartners.com.au www.marchesepartners.com.au Sydney · Brisbane · Canberra · Melbourne · Adelaide · Perth Kuala Lumpur · Auckland ABN 32 604 104 378	PROJECT STORMWATER DRAINAGE 3 QUARRY ROAD, DURAL, NSW, 2158



DRAWING

FIGURE 8

REVISION

Ε

JOB

2016-1029



ATTACHMENT 2 FLOOD MODELLING OF CONDITIONS USING DRAINS MODELLING PROGRAM



**DRAINS Model Node Diagram – Existing Conditions** 



#### 100 Year Storm, Worst Case Scenario – Existing Conditions



#### 20 Year Storm, Worst Case Scenario – Existing Conditions

Project: Job No.	3 Quarry Ro 2016-1029	d, Dural NS	w			Existing C	Existing Condition - DRAINS Input Data Sheet					25/01/2017				
PIT / NOD	E DETAILS		Version 1	3												
Name	Туре	Family	Size	Ponding Volume (cu.m)	Pressure Change Coeff. Ku	Surface Elev (m)	Max Pond Depth (m)	Base Inflow (cu.m/s)	Blocking Factor	x	у	Bolt-down lid	id	Part Full Shock Los	Inflow s Hydrograg	Pit is ph
N2	Node					199.54	1		0	757.292	-375.347		1	L	No	
N1	Node					208	3		0	670.031	-329.439		4	ļ	No	
N6	Node					180	)		0	879.574	-374.204			7	No	
N4	Node					195	5		0	814.372	-376.995		43	L	No	
N3	Node					206	5		0	753.755	-440.291		4	7	No	
N5	Node					180	)		0	851.21	-313.699		5	7	No	
N53	Node					200	)		0	674.384	-403.117		174	1	No	
OUTLET	Node					180	)		0	956.368	-374.986		1344	1	No	

SUB-CAT	CHMENT DET	FAILS																			
Name	Pit or	Total	Paved	Gra	iss Suj	op Paved	Grass	Supp	Paved	Grass	Supp	Paved	Grass	s Supp	Paved	Grass	Su	qqu	Lag Time Gutte	r Gutter	Gutter Rainfall
	Node	Area	Area	Are	a Are	a Time	Time	Time	Length	Length	Length	Slope(%)	Slope	e Slope	e Rough	Rough	n Ro	ough	or Factor Lengt	h Slope	FlowFactor Multiplier
		(ha)	%	%	%	(min)	(min)	(min)	(m)	(m)	(m)	%	%	%					(m)	%	
	2 N2	4.3	38	0	97	3	5	5	5	-1	320	20	-1	5.31	3.1	-1	0.2	0.013	0		1
	1 N1	1.0	04	0	100	0	5	5	5	-1	130	-1	-1	9.23	-1	-1	0.2	-1	0		1
	6 N6	5.5	51	0	98	2	5	5	5	-1	305	21	-1	7.21	1.6	-1	0.2	0.013	0		1
	4 N4	4.4	46	0	97	3	5	5	5	-1	226	19	-1	5.75	3.5	-1	0.2	0.013	0		1
	5 N3	1.6	66	0	86.7	13.3	5	5	5	-1	104	21	-1	3.2	8.6	-1	0.2	0.013	0		1
	7 N5	5.3	33	0	93.6	6.4	0	5	5	-1	306	39	-1	9.56	7.69	-1	0.2	0.013	0		1
	3 N53	3.:	12	0	94.4	5.5	5	5	5	-1	235	10	-1	4.89	0.9	-1	0.2	0.013	0		1

#### OVERFLOW ROUTE DETAILS

Name	From	То	Travel	Spill	Crest	Weir	Cross	Safe Depth	SafeDepth	Safe	Bed	D/S Area	id	U/S IL	D/S IL	Length (m)
			Time	Level	Length	Coeff. C	Section	Major Stor	Minor Stor	- DxV	Slope	Contributing				
			(min)	(m)	(m)			(m)	(m)	(sq.m/sec	) (%)	%				
OF3	N2	N4	1	1			Quarry Rd section	r 0.3	0.3	0.6	5 3.85	5 0	44	199.54	195	118
OF1	N1	N2	C	).3			Quarry Rd section	r 0.3	0.3	0.6	5 26.48	3 100	12	220	199.54	77.26
OF7	N6	OUTLET	C	).4			Swale with 1:4 sid	. 0.45	0.3	1	0.5	5 0	1345	180	180	20
OF5	N4	N6		2			Quarry Rd Section	r 0.3	0.3	0.6	6.05	5 0	53	195	180	248
OF4	N3	N4	1	2			Swale with 1:6 sid	. 0.15	0.1	1	8.73	3 0	2214	206	195	126
OF6	N5	N6	C	).2			Swale with 1:4 sid	. 0.45	0.3	1	64.71	1 0	58	213	180	51
OF2	N53	N2	C	).5			Quarry rd section	n 0.3	0.3	0.6	5 1.24	1 0	175	200	199.54	37



**DRAINS Model Node Diagram – Proposed Conditions** 



#### <u>10 Year Storm, Worst Case Scenario – Proposed Conditions</u>



### 20 Year Storm, Worst Case Scenario – Proposed Conditions



### 100 Year Storm, Worst Case Scenario – Proposed Conditions

Project: Job No.	3 Quarry Rd, Dural NSW 2016-1029						Proposed Condition - DRAINS Input Data Sheet						25/01/2017					
PIT / NOD	E DETAILS		Version 13	3														
Name	Туре	Family	Size	Ponding	Pressure	Surface	Max Pond	Base	Blocking	х		у	Bolt-down	id	1	Part Full	Inflow	Pit is
				Volume	Change	Elev (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow	Factor				lid		:	Shock Los	s Hydrogra	aph
				(cu.m)	Coeff. Ku			(cu.m/s)										
N1	Node					208			0	7	29.587	-367.976			4		No	
N6	Node					180			0	8	21.457	-373.504			7		No	
N2	Node					200			0	7	29.587	-380.897			174		No	
OUTLET	Node					180			0	8	38.469	-371.097			1344		No	
HW1	Headwall				0.5	200			0	7	57.154	-375.354			3901			
N4	Node					193.2			0	7	94.758	-374.17			41		No	
N10	Node					213			0		816.65	-357.823			4084		No	
N11	Node					213			0		827.08	-363.547			4095		No	

0.3

0.05

0.05

0.3

0

0

Quarry Rd

Overflow a

Overflow a

SUB-CA	TCHMENT D	DETAILS																					
Name	Pit or	Total	Paved	Grass	Supp	Paved	Grass	Supp	Paved	Grass	Supp	Paved	Grass	Supp	Pa	ived	Grass	Supp	Lag Time	Gutter	Gutter	Gutter	Rainfall
	Node	Area	Area	Area	Area	Time	Time	Time	Length	Length	Length	Slope(%)	Slope	Slope	Ro	ough	Rough	Rough	or Factor	Length	Slope	FlowFacto	or Multiplier
		(ha)	%	%	%	(min)	(min)	(min)	(m)	(m)	(m)	%	%	%						(m)	%		
	1 N1	1.0	)4	0	100	0	5	5	5	-1 1	.30 -	1	-1	9.23	-1	-1	L C	).2	-1	0			1
	5 N6	7.4	19	0	100	0	5	5	5	-1 3	95 -	1	-1	6.5	-1	-1	L C	).2	-1	0			1
	3 N2	3.3	12	0	94.4	5.5	5	5	5	-1 2	35 1	0	-1 -1	4.89	0.9	-1	L C	).2 0	.013	0			1
	2 HW1	4.3	38	0	97	3	5	5	5	-1 3	20 2	0	-1	5.31	3.1	-1		).2 0	.013	0			1
	7 N10	0.7	73	0	100	0	5	5	5	0 1	.60 -	1	0 5	.625	-1	0.013	з с	).2	-1	0			1
	6 N11	5.3	33	0	100	0	5	5	5	-1 3	45 -	1	-1 9	.565	-1	-1	L C	).2	-1	0			1
PIPE D	TAILS																						
Name	From	То	Length	U/S IL	D/SI	L Slope	Type	Dia	I.D.	Rough	Pipe Is	No. Pipes	Chg Fr	om At Ch	g Ch	ng	RI	Chg	RL	etc			
			(m)	(m)	(m)	(%)		(mm)	(mm)				. 0		(m	ນັ	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)			
Propos	ed FHW1	N4	2	00	197.5	193.2	2.15 Concrete	, i 120	0 12	00 0.0	13 NewFixed	ł	1 N4		0		()	()	()	()			
OVERF	LOW ROUTE	DETAILS																					
Name	From	То	Travel	Spill	Cres	t Weir	Cross	Safe Dept	th SafeDep	th Safe	Bed	D/S Area		id	U/	/s II	D/S II	Length	n (m)				
			Time	Level	Leng	th Coeff.	C Section	Maior Sto	or Minor St	tor DxV	Slope	Contribut	ing		-,		-,	0	,				
			(min)	(m)	(m)			(m)	(m)	(sa.m/s	ec) (%)	%											
OF1	N1	HW1	()	0.8	(,		Quarry R	d 0.	3 C	).3 (	0.6 26.4	8 1	00		12	220	) 199.	54	190				
OF7	N6	OUTLET	í	0.4			Swale wi	th 0.4	5 0	).3	1 0	5	0		1345	180	) 1	80	20				
0F2	N2	HW1	(	0.5			Ouarry ro	1. 0.	3 0	).3 (	 0.6 1.2	- 4	0		175	200	) 199.	 54	37				
OF 3	HW1	N4		1.9	200	3	2 Channel	se 0.0	9 0.0	03	1 3.	4	0		3932	200	) 193	3.2	200				

0.6

0.6

0.6

7.33

17.55

9.57

0

0

0

4059

4089

4101

193.2

213

213

180

188

345

180

180

180

PIPE COVE	R DETAILS				
Name	Туре	Dia (mm)	Safe Cover	Cover (m)	
Proposed	F Concrete.	1200	0.6	-1.29	Unsafe

1.3

1

2.5

N6

N6

N6

OF4

OF5

OF6

N4

N10

N11

#### ATTACHMENT 3 SITE SURVEY

The site survey was conducted on 14<sup>th</sup> December 2016:



Image 1: Quarry Road has a road fall towards east



Image 2: Quarry Road has a road sag east of the southern site boundary



Image 3: Quarry Road falls east from Old Northern Road towards the site.



Image 4: Vineys Road is a gravel road located north of the site which falls towards the eastern site boundary



Image 5: Vineys Road has a road sag near the cross intersection at Vineys Lane.



Image 6: The northern site boundary has an existing single storey brick house and green areas



Image 7: Vineys Road has a falling gradient towards east of site.



Image 8: At the bend of Vineys Road located north of site, the road falls towards the site.



Image 9: Old Northern Road falls south towards Quarry Road